GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATIONS BOARD

In the Matter of:	)
	)
Charles Bagenstose,	)
	)
Complainant,	)
	) PERB Case No. 88-U-33
v.	) Opinion No. 351
	)
District of Columbia	)
Public Schools,	)
	)
Respondent.	)

ORDER

On April 6, 1993, the Complainant in the above-captioned proceeding filed a document with the Public Employee Relations Board (Board) styled "Motion For Clarification of Opinion No. 345." No response to the Motion was filed by Respondent District of Columbia Public Schools.

Complainant's Motion is hereby denied. [1]/

---

[1]/ Complainant claims that he does not comprehend our disposition in Opinion No. 345 of an earlier Motion by Complainant styled "Motion That I Be Granted An Appearance Before the Board To Discuss The Unprofessional, Discourteous, Accusatorial, and Inappropriate Manner In Which The Director Spoke To Me Sometime During The Month of November, 1991, and The Inappropriate, Prejudicial, Vindictive, and Mean-Spirited Actions Which She Took After That Incident". What we stated in Opinion No. 345, which we now make even more explicit, is that Complainant's request to appear before the Board, for the reasons stated in the above-captioned Motion, is denied. The granting of such requests is in the discretion of the Board. Complainant's Motion presented no acceptable or cogent reasons for the Board to grant his Motion.

With respect to Complainant's contention that he never received a numbered copy of our Order in Opinion No. 340, the Board, contemporaneously with the issuance of this Order, shall provide under separate cover, another numbered copy of this Order. We note, however, that with the exception of an assigned number, the Order is identical to the one originally issued to Complainant.

The Board will not entertain any additional Motions by Complainant related directly or indirectly to any aspect of this case.

BY ORDER OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RELATIONS BOARD
Washington, D.C.

April 16, 1993